

Message

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie [Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com]  
**Sent:** 6/21/2019 12:15:30 PM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday [wirick.holiday@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

The repository page has been updated.

Thanks!  
Sophie

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2019 11:43 AM  
**To:** Delzell, Sophie <Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

 **CAUTION:** This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments. 

Hi Sophie yes the cover letter is correct.  
Thanks,  
Holly

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie <Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 20, 2019 7:35 AM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Good morning,

I wanted to follow up again to confirm that the cover letter is accurate before we send the WQS to post.

Thanks,  
Sophie

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 04, 2019 3:57 PM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

I just need you to confirm that the cover letter (noting that the WQS are in effect subject to ESA consultation) looks correct before I send the WQS to post.

Thanks!  
Sophie

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 04, 2019 1:24 PM  
**To:** Delzell, Sophie <[Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

**⚠ CAUTION:** This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments. **⚠**

Hi Sophie, if it is the same as the version you initially sent me then it is ready to post.

Thanks,  
Holly

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie <[Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 4, 2019 11:02 AM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

Sorry for the misunderstanding. Attached is the original version of the WQS from North Dakota's website with a cover letter noting EPA action. Let me know if it looks ready to post to the Repository page.

Thanks!  
Sophie

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 31, 2019 3:39 PM  
**To:** Delzell, Sophie <[Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com)>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

**⚠ CAUTION:** This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments. **⚠**

Hi Sophie, I'm thinking that you should use the original WQS that are on ND's website since that is the version that was officially approved. What do you think?

Thanks,  
Holly

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie <[Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 31, 2019 1:35 PM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

I incorporated the changes you sent in your previous email.

Sophie

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 31, 2019 3:29 PM

**To:** Delzell, Sophie <Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com>

**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

**⚠ CAUTION:** This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments. ⚠

Hi Sophie, I contacted the ND WQS coordinator and advised him of the editorial changes that need to be made. He said he will make them during the next triennial review.

Can you please tell me how this version of the WQS is different than the one you previously sent?

Thanks,

Holly Wirick  
Water Quality Section  
U.S. EPA – Region 8  
1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80232  
(303) 312-6238

---

**From:** Delzell, Sophie <Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com>

**Sent:** Friday, May 31, 2019 1:19 PM

**To:** Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>; DIV.FFX.WQSClearinghouse <WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com>

**Cc:** Stapleton, Gregory <Stapleton.Gregory@epa.gov>; Katelin Kelly <katelin.kelly@tetrattech.com>

**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

Attached is an updated version of the WQS. Please let me know if they are ready to post to the Repository page.

Thanks,  
Sophie

---

**From:** Wirick, Holiday <wirick.holiday@epa.gov>

**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2019 2:11 PM

**To:** DIV.FFX.WQSClearinghouse <WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com>

**Cc:** Stapleton, Gregory <Stapleton.Gregory@epa.gov>; Delzell, Sophie <Sophie.Delzell@tetrattech.com>; Kelly, Katelin <Katelin.Kelly@tetrattech.com>

**Subject:** RE: North Dakota Document Request

**⚠ CAUTION:** This email originated from an external sender. Verify the source before opening links or attachments. ⚠

Hi Sophie, yes the version of North Dakota's WQS that you attached is the correct, most current version.

I did notice 3 minor editorial changes that should be made by the state (attached) which I'll send to the state's water quality standards coordinator today, but this version is fine to post.

Finally, there is no need to wait for ESA consultation to conclude before updating the repository.

Thanks. If you have any questions, please feel free to call me.

Holly Wirick  
Water Quality Section  
U.S. EPA – Region 8

1595 Wynkoop Street  
Denver, CO 80202  
(303) 312-6238

---

**From:** DIV.FFX.WQSClearinghouse <[WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com](mailto:WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2019 12:00 PM  
**To:** Wirick, Holiday <[wirick.holiday@epa.gov](mailto:wirick.holiday@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** Stapleton, Gregory <[Stapleton.Gregory@epa.gov](mailto:Stapleton.Gregory@epa.gov)>; DIV.FFX.WQSClearinghouse <[WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com](mailto:WQSClearinghouse@tetrattech.com)>; Delzell, Sophie <[sophie.delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:sophie.delzell@tetrattech.com)>; Katelin Kelly <[katelin.kelly@tetrattech.com](mailto:katelin.kelly@tetrattech.com)>  
**Subject:** North Dakota Document Request

Hi Holly,

We're working with Greg Stapleton to update [North Dakota's Repository page](#). We recently received notification of EPA action on the 2018-08 North Dakota 2018 Triennial submission.

In order to update the repository page, we want to confirm that the document attached, which we pulled from the [state website](#), is the correct version of the updated Water Quality Standards. Additionally, I wanted to see whether you would prefer to wait for ESA consultation to conclude before we update the repository.

After I hear from you, I will continue the update accordingly.

Thanks,  
Sophie

**Sophie Delzell** | Environmental Scientist  
Direct: 703.385.1646  
[sophie.delzell@tetrattech.com](mailto:sophie.delzell@tetrattech.com)

**Environmental Sciences:**  
*Innovative Solutions for Clean Water*

Tetra Tech | Complex World, Clear Solutions

10306 Eaton Place, Suite 340 | Fairfax, VA 22030-2201 | [www.tetrattech.com](http://www.tetrattech.com) | 703.385.6000

PLEASE NOTE: This message, including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system.

One or more parts of this submission are more than 30 days old and require approval/disapproval. Please contact your regional liaison if you'd like assistance. Additionally, please update this submission's review status by following the link below.

Submitter: NORTH DAKOTA (Region 8)

Submitted: 8/8/18

Title: North Dakota 2018 Triennial

Update Submission:  
<https://wata.epa.gov/wata/wata4/index.cfm?submid=11397>

Description: Revisions include updating the human health criteria to match EPA's Ambient Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Human Health for all priority pollutants and the five select non-priority pollutants: barium, chlorophenoxy herbicide (2-4-D), methoxychlor, nitrates and pH adding a narrative "free from" criterion for nutrients adding compliance schedules for achieving standards for permitted dischargers and placing site-specific criteria for the Sheyenne River.